Figure 1 – Interpreting important treatment effects using the four strategies for handling participants with missing data for continuous outcomes in a systematic review of respiratory rehabilitation in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease

Study or Subgroup Risk I	Difference SE	Risk Difference IV, Random, 95% Cl	Risk Difference IV, Random, 95% Cl
L.2.1 Complete Case Analysis ADL-Booker 1994	0.46 0.09	0.46 [0.28, 0.64]	
CRQ–Behnke 2000 CRQ–Cambach 1997	0.68 0.12 0.47 0.11	0.68 [0.44, 0.92] 0.47 [0.25, 0.69]	
RQ-Goldstein 1994	0.27 0.1	0.27 [0.07, 0.47]	<u> </u>
CRQ-Gosselink 2000 CRO-Griffiths 2000	0.27 0.12 0.45 0.07	0.27 [0.03, 0.51] 0.45 [0.31, 0.59]	
CRQ-Guell 1995	0.42 0.12	0.42 [0.18, 0.66]	
RQ-Guell 1998	0.27 0.15 0.28 0.16	0.27 [-0.02, 0.56]	<u></u>
CRQ-Hernandez 2000 CRQ-Simpson 1992	0.28 0.16 0.24 0.18	0.28 [-0.03, 0.59] 0.24 [-0.11, 0.59]	
CRQ-Singh 2003	0.43 0.14	0.43 [0.16, 0.70]	
CRQ-Wijkstra 1994 CRQT-Bendstrup 1997	0.34 0.15 0.28 0.14	0.34 [0.05, 0.63] 0.28 [0.01, 0.55]	
DDS–Jones 1985	-0.01 0.25	-0.01 [-0.50, 0.48]	
DR–Lake 1990 GRQ–Boxall 2005	0.04 0.27 0.12 0.14	0.04 [-0.49, 0.57] 0.12 [-0.15, 0.39]	
GRQ–Chlumsky 2001	0 0.25	0.00 [-0.49, 0.49]	
GRQ–Engstrom 1999 GRQ–Finnerty 2001	0.02 0.13 0.2 0.13	0.02 [-0.23, 0.27] 0.20 [-0.05, 0.45]	
GRO-Ringbaek 2000	0.01 0.16	0.01 [-0.30, 0.32]	
SIP-Emery 1998 Subtotal (95% CI)	0.06 0.14	0.06 [-0.21, 0.33] 0.29 [0.21, 0.37]	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.02; Chi Test for overall effect: $Z = 7.13$	$^{2} = 38.80, df = 20 (P = (P < 0.00001))$	$= 0.007$; $I^2 = 48\%$	-
L.2.2 Strategy 1	(F < 0.00001)		
ADL-Booker 1994	0.3 0.09	0.30 [0.12, 0.48]	— <u> </u>
CRQ–Behnke 2000 CRQ–Cambach 1997	0.51 0.13 0.36 0.1	0.51 [0.26, 0.76] 0.36 [0.16, 0.56]	
RQ-Goldstein 1994	0.24 0.1	0.24 [0.04, 0.44]	
RQ-Gosselink 2000	0.18 0.1	0.18 [-0.02, 0.38]	
CRQ-Griffiths 2000 CRQ-Guell 1995	0.45 0.07 0.35 0.11	0.45 [0.31, 0.59] 0.35 [0.13, 0.57]	
RQ-Guell 1998	0.24 0.14	0.24 [-0.03, 0.51]	+
CRQ–Hernandez 2000 CRQ–Simpson 1992	0.18 0.13 0.21 0.17	0.18 [-0.07, 0.43] 0.21 [-0.12, 0.54]	
CRQ-Singh 2003	0.44 0.14	0.44 [0.17, 0.71]	
CRQ-Wijkstra 1994 CRQT-Bendstrup 1997	0.32 0.15 0.2 0.12	0.32 [0.03, 0.61] 0.20 [-0.04, 0.44]	
DDS-Jones 1985	-0.03 0.21	-0.03 [-0.44, 0.38]	
DR-Lake 1990 SGRQ-Boxall 2005	0.03 0.25	0.03 [-0.46, 0.52]	
GRQ–Boxall 2005 GRQ–Chlumsky 2001	0.09 0.12 0 0.25	0.09 [-0.15, 0.33] 0.00 [-0.49, 0.49]	
GRQ-Engstrom 1999	0.02 0.13	0.02 [-0.23, 0.27]	<u> </u>
GRQ-Finnerty 2001 GRQ-Ringbaek 2000	0.15 0.12 0.01 0.15	0.15 [-0.09, 0.39] 0.01 [-0.28, 0.30]	
IP-Emery 1998	0.07 0.13	0.07 [-0.18, 0.32]	
Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; Chi		0.24 [0.17, 0.31]	◆
Test for overall effect: $Z = 6.96$	(P < 0.00001)		
1.2.3 Strategy 2			
ADL–Booker 1994 IRO–Behnke 2000	0.28 0.09 0.47 0.13	0.28 [0.10, 0.46] 0.47 [0.22, 0.72]	— <u> </u>
RQ-Cambach 1997	0.32 0.1	0.32 [0.12, 0.52]	
RQ-Goldstein 1994 RQ-Gosselink 2000	0.23 0.1 0.13 0.1	0.23 [0.03. 0.43]	
RQ-Gosselink 2000 RO-Griffiths 2000	0.13 0.1	0.13 [-0.07, 0.33] 0.45 [0.31, 0.59]	
RQ-Guell 1995	0.33 0.11	0.33 [0.11, 0.55]	
CRQ-Guell 1998 CRQ-Hernandez 2000	0.22 0.15 0.14 0.13	0.22 [-0.07, 0.51] 0.14 [-0.11, 0.39]	
CRQ-Simpson 1992	0.19 0.17	0.19 [-0.14, 0.52]	
RQ-Singh 2003	0.44 0.14	0.44 [0.17, 0.71]	
CRQ-Wijkstra 1994 CRQT-Bendstrup 1997	0.32 0.15 0.18 0.13	0.32 [0.03, 0.61] 0.18 [-0.07, 0.43]	
DDS–Jones 1985	-0.03 0.22	-0.03 [-0.46, 0.40]	
DR–Lake 1990 GRQ–Boxall 2005	0.02 0.25 0.06 0.13	0.02 [-0.47, 0.51] 0.06 [-0.19, 0.31]	
GRQ–Chlumsky 2001	0 0.25	0.00 [-0.49, 0.49]	
GRQ–Engstrom 1999 GRQ–Finnerty 2001	0.01 0.13 0.12 0.12	0.01 [-0.24, 0.26]	— <u> </u>
GRQ-Ringbaek 2000	-0.01 0.12	0.12 [-0.12, 0.36] -0.01 [-0.30, 0.28]	
IP-Emery 1998	0.04 0.13	0.04 [-0.21, 0.29]	—
Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; Chi	² = 32.48, df = 20 (P =	0.22 [0.15, 0.29] = 0.04); I ² = 38%	
Test for overall effect: $Z = 6.13$	(P < 0.00001)		
L .2.4 Strategy 3 ADL-Booker 1994	0.22 0.09	0.22 [0.04, 0.40]	
RQ-Behnke 2000	0.42 0.13	0.42 [0.17, 0.67]	
CRQ–Cambach 1997	0.28 0.1	0.28 [0.08, 0.48]	
CRQ-Goldstein 1994 CRQ-Gosselink 2000	0.21 0.1 0.08 0.1	0.21 [0.01, 0.41] 0.08 [-0.12, 0.28]	
RQ-Griffiths 2000	0.45 0.07	0.45 [0.31, 0.59]	——
CRQ-Guell 1995 CRQ-Guell 1998	0.3 0.11 0.21 0.15	0.30 [0.08, 0.52] 0.21 [-0.08, 0.50]	
	0.08 0.13		
RQ-Hernandez 2000		0.08 [-0.17, 0.33]	
CRQ-Simpson 1992	0.16 0.17	0.16 [-0.17, 0.49]	
CRQ-Simpson 1992 CRQ-Singh 2003 CRQ-Wijkstra 1994	$\begin{array}{cccc} 0.16 & 0.17 \\ 0.44 & 0.14 \\ 0.31 & 0.15 \end{array}$	0.16 [-0.17, 0.49] 0.44 [0.17, 0.71] 0.31 [0.02, 0.60]	
CRQ-Simpson 1992 CRQ-Singh 2003 CRQ-Wijkstra 1994 CRQT-Bendstrup 1997	0.16 0.17 0.44 0.14 0.31 0.15 0.14 0.13	$\begin{array}{c} 0.16 \left[-0.17, \ 0.49\right] \\ 0.44 \left[0.17, \ 0.71\right] \\ 0.31 \left[0.02, \ 0.60\right] \\ 0.14 \left[-0.11, \ 0.39\right] \end{array}$	
CRQ-Simpson 1992 CRQ-Singh 2003 CRQ-Wijkstra 1994	$\begin{array}{cccc} 0.16 & 0.17 \\ 0.44 & 0.14 \\ 0.31 & 0.15 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.16 \left[-0.17, 0.49\right]\\ 0.44 \left[0.17, 0.71\right]\\ 0.31 \left[0.02, 0.60\right]\\ 0.14 \left[-0.11, 0.39\right]\\ -0.07 \left[-0.50, 0.36\right]\\ 0.01 \left[-0.48, 0.50\right] \end{array}$	
RQ-Simpson 1992 RQ-Singh 2003 RQ-Wijkstra 1994 ERQT-Bendstrup 1997 DDS-Jones 1985 DR-Lake 1990 GRQ-Boxall 2005	0.16 0.17 0.44 0.14 0.31 0.15 0.14 0.13 -0.07 0.22 0.01 0.25 0.02 0.12	$\begin{array}{c} 0.16 \ [-0.17, 0.49] \\ 0.44 \ [0.17, 0.71] \\ 0.31 \ [0.02, 0.60] \\ 0.14 \ [-0.11, 0.39] \\ -0.07 \ [-0.50, 0.36] \\ 0.01 \ [-0.48, 0.50] \\ 0.02 \ [-0.22, 0.26] \end{array}$	
RQ-Simpson 1992 RQ-Simp 2003 RQ-Wijkstra 1994 PRQT-Bendstrup 1997 DDS-Jones 1985 DR-Lake 1990 GRQ-Boxall 2005 GRQ-Chumsky 2001	$\begin{array}{cccc} 0.16 & 0.17 \\ 0.44 & 0.14 \\ 0.31 & 0.15 \\ 0.14 & 0.13 \\ -0.07 & 0.22 \\ 0.01 & 0.25 \\ 0.02 & 0.12 \\ 0 & 0.25 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.16 \ [-0.17, \ 0.49] \\ 0.44 \ [0.17, \ 0.71] \\ 0.31 \ [0.02, \ 0.60] \\ 0.14 \ [-0.11, \ 0.39] \\ -0.07 \ [-0.50, \ 0.36] \\ 0.01 \ [-0.48, \ 0.50] \\ 0.02 \ [-0.22, \ 0.26] \\ 0.00 \ [-0.49, \ 0.49] \end{array}$	
RQ-Simpson 1992 RQ-Singh 2003 RQ-Wijkstra 1994 ERQT-Bendstrup 1997 DDS-Jones 1985 DR-Lake 1990 GRQ-Boxall 2005	0.16 0.17 0.44 0.14 0.31 0.15 0.14 0.13 -0.07 0.22 0.01 0.25 0.02 0.12	$\begin{array}{c} 0.16 \ [-0.17, \ 0.49] \\ 0.44 \ [0.17, \ 0.71] \\ 0.31 \ [0.02, \ 0.60] \\ 0.14 \ [-0.11, \ 0.39] \\ -0.4 \ [-0.50, \ 0.30] \\ 0.02 \ [-0.22, \ 0.26] \\ 0.00 \ [-0.49, \ 0.49] \\ 0.00 \ [-0.25, \ 0.25] \end{array}$	
FRQ-Simpson 1992 SRQ-Singh 2003 SRQ-Wijkstra 1994 SRQT-Bendstrup 1997 DDS-Jones 1985 SRQT-Bendstrup 1997 SRQT-Bendstrup 1997 SRQT-Bendstrup 1997 SRQ-Chousell 2005 SCRQ-Chlumsky 2001 SCRQ-Chlumsky 2001 SCRQ-Finnerty 2001 SCRQ-Finnerty 2001 SCRQ-Fingbaek 2000	$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0.16 & 0.17 \\ 0.44 & 0.14 \\ 0.31 & 0.15 \\ 0.14 & 0.13 \\ -0.07 & 0.22 \\ 0.01 & 0.25 \\ 0.02 & 0.12 \\ 0 & 0.25 \\ 0 & 0.12 \\ 0 & 0.13 \\ 0.09 & 0.12 \\ -0.04 & 0.14 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.16 \ [-0.17, \ 0.49] \\ 0.44 \ [0.17, \ 0.71] \\ 0.31 \ [0.02, \ 0.60] \\ 0.04 \ [-0.11, \ 0.39] \\ -0.07 \ [-0.50, \ 0.36] \\ 0.02 \ [-0.22, \ 0.26] \\ 0.00 \ [-0.49, \ 0.49] \\ 0.00 \ [-0.25, \ 0.25] \\ 0.09 \ [-0.15, \ 0.23] \\ -0.04 \ [-0.31, \ 0.23] \end{array}$	
FRQ-Simpson 1992 SRQ-Singh 2003 SRQ-Wijkstra 1994 SRQT-Bendstrup 1997 DDS-Jones 1985 DR-Lake 1990 GRQ-Boxall 2005 GRQBoxall 2005 GRQFindery 2001	$\begin{array}{cccc} 0.16 & 0.17 \\ 0.44 & 0.14 \\ 0.31 & 0.15 \\ 0.14 & 0.13 \\ -0.07 & 0.22 \\ 0.01 & 0.25 \\ 0.02 & 0.12 \\ 0 & 0.25 \\ 0 & 0.25 \\ 0 & 0.25 \\ 0 & 0.13 \\ 0.09 & 0.12 \\ -0.04 & 0.14 \\ 0.01 & 0.13 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.16 \ [-0.17, \ 0.49] \\ 0.44 \ [0.17, \ 0.71] \\ 0.31 \ [0.02, \ 0.60] \\ 0.014 \ [-0.11, \ 0.39] \\ -0.07 \ [-0.50, \ 0.36] \\ 0.001 \ [-0.48, \ 0.50] \\ 0.02 \ [-0.22, \ 0.26] \\ 0.000 \ [-0.49, \ 0.49] \\ 0.009 \ [-0.25, \ 0.33] \\ -0.04 \ [-0.31, \ 0.23] \\ 0.01 \ [-0.24, \ 0.26] \\ 0.18 \ [0.11, \ 0.26] \end{array}$	
RQ-Simpson 1992 RQ-Singh 2003 RQ-Wijkstra 1994 SRQT-Bendstrup 1997 DDS-Jones 1985 PR-Lake 1990 GRQ-Boxall 2005 GRQ-C-Humsky 2001 GRQ-Engstrom 1999 GRQ-Fingback 2000 GRQ-Fingback 2000	$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0.16 & 0.17 \\ 0.44 & 0.14 \\ 0.31 & 0.15 \\ 0.14 & 0.13 \\ -0.07 & 0.22 \\ 0.01 & 0.25 \\ 0.02 & 0.12 \\ 0 & 0.13 \\ 0.09 & 0.12 \\ -0.04 & 0.14 \\ 0.01 & 0.13 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.16 \ [-0.17, \ 0.49] \\ 0.44 \ [0.17, \ 0.71] \\ 0.31 \ [0.02, \ 0.60] \\ 0.014 \ [-0.11, \ 0.39] \\ -0.07 \ [-0.50, \ 0.36] \\ 0.001 \ [-0.48, \ 0.50] \\ 0.02 \ [-0.22, \ 0.26] \\ 0.000 \ [-0.49, \ 0.49] \\ 0.009 \ [-0.25, \ 0.33] \\ -0.04 \ [-0.31, \ 0.23] \\ 0.01 \ [-0.24, \ 0.26] \\ 0.18 \ [0.11, \ 0.26] \end{array}$	
RQ-Simpson 1992 SRQ-Singh 2003 SRQ-Wijkstra 1994 SRQT-Bendstrup 1997 DDS-Jones 1985 DR-Lake 1990 GRQ-Chumsky 2005 GRQ-Findawy 2009 GRQ-Findawy 2009 GRQ-Findawy 2001 GRQ-Findawy 2001 GRQ-Findawy 2001 GRQ-Findawy 2001 GRQ-Compback 2000 Mubtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; Chi Test for overall effect: Z = 4.91 L.2.5 Strategy 4	$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0.16 & 0.17 \\ 0.44 & 0.14 \\ 0.31 & 0.15 \\ 0.14 & 0.13 \\ -0.07 & 0.22 \\ 0.01 & 0.25 \\ 0.02 & 0.12 \\ 0 & 0.25 \\ 0.02 & 0.13 \\ 0.09 & 0.13 \\ 0.09 & 0.13 \\ 0.01 & 0.13 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.16 \ [-0.17, \ 0.49] \\ 0.44 \ [0.17, \ 0.71] \\ 0.31 \ [0.02, \ 0.60] \\ 0.14 \ [-0.11, \ 0.39] \\ -0.07 \ [-0.50, \ 0.36] \\ 0.001 \ [-0.48, \ 0.50] \\ 0.002 \ [-0.29, \ 0.26] \\ 0.002 \ [-0.29, \ 0.49] \\ 0.009 \ [-0.29, \ 0.29] \\ 0.009 \ [-0.29, \ 0.29] \\ 0.004 \ [-0.31, \ 0.23] \\ -0.04 \ [-0.34, \ 0.26] \\ 0.18 \ [0.11, \ 0.26] \\ = 0.011; \ l^2 = 45\% \end{array}$	
FRQ-Simpson 1992 SRQ-Single 2003 FRQ-Wijkstra 1994 SRQT-Bendstrup 1997 SRQT-Bendstrup 1997 SRQT-Bendstrup 1997 SRQT-Bendstrup 1997 SRQT-Bendstrup 1997 SRQT-Bendstrup 1998 SGRQ-Chlumsky 2001 SGRQ-Finnerty 2001 SGRQ-Fingstrom 1998 Jubtotal (95% CD) Jestford (95% CD) Jest for overall effect: Z = 4.91 L.2.5 Strategy 4 NDL-Booker 1994	$\begin{array}{cccccc} 0.16 & 0.17 \\ 0.44 & 0.14 \\ 0.31 & 0.15 \\ 0.14 & 0.13 \\ -0.07 & 0.22 \\ 0.01 & 0.25 \\ 0.02 & 0.12 \\ 0 & 0.25 \\ 0 & 0.13 \\ 0.09 & 0.12 \\ -0.04 & 0.14 \\ 0.01 & 0.13 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.16 \ [-0.17, \ 0.49] \\ 0.44 \ [0.17, \ 0.71] \\ 0.31 \ [0.02, \ 0.60] \\ 0.14 \ [-0.11, \ 0.39] \\ -0.01 \ [-0.48, \ 0.30] \\ 0.02 \ [-0.22, \ 0.26] \\ 0.00 \ [-0.49, \ 0.49] \\ 0.00 \ [-0.22, \ 0.26] \\ 0.00 \ [-0.25, \ 0.25] \\ 0.09 \ [-0.15, \ 0.33] \\ -0.04 \ [-0.31, \ 0.23] \\ 0.01 \ [-0.24, \ 0.26] \\ 0.011 \ [-0.24, \ 0.26] \\ \end{array}$	
FRQ-Simpson 1992 FRQ-Simpk 2003 FRQ-Wijkstra 1994 FRQT-Bendstrup 1997 DDS-Jones 1985 DR-Lake 1990 GRQ-Chumsky 2001 GRQ-Finderwy 2001 GRQ-Rometry 2001 GRQ-Rometry 2001 GRQ-Finderwy 2001 GRQ-Finderwy 2001 GRQ-Chumsky 2001 GRQ-Finderwy 2001 GRQ-Finderwy 1998 Colored Structure GRQ-Finderwy 1998 Leterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; Chi Test for overall effect: Z = 4.91 L.2.5 Strategy 4 NDL-Booker 1994 SRQ-Bennke 2000	$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0.16 & 0.17 \\ 0.44 & 0.14 \\ 0.31 & 0.15 \\ 0.14 & 0.13 \\ -0.07 & 0.22 \\ 0.01 & 0.25 \\ 0.02 & 0.12 \\ 0 & 0.25 \\ 0.02 & 0.12 \\ 0 & 0.25 \\ 0.09 & 0.13 \\ 0.09 & 0.13 \\ 0.09 & 0.14 \\ 0.01 & 0.13 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.16 \ [-0.17, \ 0.49] \\ 0.44 \ [0.17, \ 0.71] \\ 0.31 \ [0.02, \ 0.60] \\ 0.14 \ [-0.11, \ 0.39] \\ -0.07 \ [-0.50, \ 0.36] \\ 0.00 \ [-0.48, \ 0.50] \\ 0.00 \ [-0.48, \ 0.50] \\ 0.00 \ [-0.49, \ 0.49] \\ 0.00 \ [-0.25, \ 0.25] \\ 0.00 \ [-0.25, \ 0.25] \\ 0.00 \ [-0.25, \ 0.25] \\ 0.01 \ [-0.24, \ 0.26] \\ 0.01 \ [-0.24, \ 0.26] \\ 0.01 \ [-0.24, \ 0.26] \\ 0.05 \ [-0.14, \ 0.26] \\ 0.02 \ [-0.14, \ 0.26] \\ 0.22 \ [-0.05, \ 0.49] \end{array}$	
RQ-Simpson 1992 RQ-Simpk 2003 RQ-Wijkstra 1994 SRQT-Bendstrup 1997 DDS-Jones 1985 DR-Lake 1990 GRQ-Chumsky 2001 GRQ-Finnerty 2001 GRQ-Finnerty 2001 GRQ-Finnerty 2001 GRQ-Finnerty 2001 ibbotal (95% C) teterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; Chi fest for overall effect: Z = 4.91 L.2.5 Strategy 4 NDL-Booker 1994 SRQ-Cambach 1997 ZRQ-Cambach 1997	$\begin{array}{cccccc} 0.16 & 0.17 \\ 0.44 & 0.14 \\ 0.31 & 0.15 \\ 0.14 & 0.13 \\ -0.07 & 0.22 \\ 0.01 & 0.25 \\ 0.02 & 0.12 \\ 0 & 0.13 \\ 0.09 & 0.12 \\ -0.04 & 0.14 \\ 0.01 & 0.13 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.16 \ [-0.17, \ 0.49] \\ 0.44 \ [0.17, \ 0.71] \\ 0.31 \ [0.02, \ 0.60] \\ 0.14 \ [-0.11, \ 0.39] \\ -0.07 \ [-0.50, \ 0.36] \\ 0.00 \ [-0.48, \ 0.50] \\ 0.00 \ [-0.48, \ 0.50] \\ 0.00 \ [-0.48, \ 0.50] \\ 0.00 \ [-0.25, \ 0.25] \\ 0.00 \ [-0.25, \ 0.25] \\ 0.00 \ [-0.25, \ 0.25] \\ 0.00 \ [-0.24, \ 0.26] \\ 0.01 \ [-0.24, \ 0.26] \\ 0.01 \ [-0.24, \ 0.26] \\ 0.01 \ [-0.24, \ 0.26] \\ 0.02 \ [-0.14, \ 0.26] \\ 0.22 \ [-0.05, \ 0.49] \\ 0.05 \ [-0.15, \ 0.25] \\ 0.15 \ [-0.05, \ 0.35] \\ \end{array}$	
FRQ-Simpson 1992 SRQ-Singh 2003 SRQ-Wijkstra 1994 SRQT-Bendstrup 1997 DDS-Jones 1985 SRQAE and 2005 Subtotal (95% CD) Address and 2005 Stategy 4 NDL-Booker 1994 SRQAE and 2005	$\begin{array}{cccccc} 0.16 & 0.17 \\ 0.44 & 0.14 \\ 0.31 & 0.15 \\ 0.14 & 0.13 \\ -0.07 & 0.22 \\ 0.01 & 0.25 \\ 0.02 & 0.025 \\ 0 & 0.12 \\ 0 & 0.13 \\ 0.09 & 0.12 \\ 0.04 & 0.14 \\ 0.01 & 0.13 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.16 \ [-0.17, \ 0.49] \\ 0.44 \ [0.17, \ 0.71] \\ 0.31 \ [0.02, \ 0.60] \\ 0.14 \ [-0.17, \ 0.73] \\ 0.07 \ [-0.50, \ 0.36] \\ 0.02 \ [-0.48, \ 0.56] \\ 0.02 \ [-0.48, \ 0.56] \\ 0.02 \ [-0.48, \ 0.49] \\ 0.00 \ [-0.25, \ 0.25] \\ 0.09 \ [-0.15, \ 0.33] \\ 0.01 \ [-0.24, \ 0.26] \\ 0.18 \ [0.11, \ 0.26] \\ 0.011 \ [-0.24, \ 0.26] \\ 0.22 \ [-0.05, \ 0.49] \\ 0.05 \ [-0.15, \ 0.25] \\ 0.05 \ [-0.15, \ 0.25] \\ 0.15 \ [-0.05, \ 0.35] \\ -0.15 \ [-0.5, \ 0.35] \\ 0.15 \ [-0.5, \ 0.35] \\ \end{array}$	
RQ-Simpson 1992 RQ-Simpk 2003 RQ-Wijkstra 1994 SRQT-Bendstrup 1997 DDS-Jones 1985 DR-Lake 1990 GRQ-Chumsky 2001 GRQ-Finnerty 2001 GRQ-Finnerty 2001 GRQ-Finnerty 2001 GRQ-Finnerty 2001 ibbotal (95% C) teterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; Chi fest for overall effect: Z = 4.91 L.2.5 Strategy 4 NDL-Booker 1994 SRQ-Cambach 1997 ZRQ-Cambach 1997	$\begin{array}{cccccc} 0.16 & 0.17 \\ 0.44 & 0.14 \\ 0.31 & 0.15 \\ 0.14 & 0.13 \\ -0.07 & 0.22 \\ 0.01 & 0.25 \\ 0.02 & 0.12 \\ 0 & 0.13 \\ 0.09 & 0.12 \\ -0.04 & 0.14 \\ 0.01 & 0.13 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.16 \ [-0.17, \ 0.49] \\ 0.44 \ [0.17, \ 0.71] \\ 0.31 \ [0.02, \ 0.60] \\ 0.14 \ [-0.17, \ 0.73] \\ 0.07 \ [-0.50, \ 0.36] \\ 0.001 \ [-0.48, \ 0.50] \\ 0.001 \ [-0.48, \ 0.50] \\ 0.000 \ [-0.25, \ 0.49] \\ 0.000 \ [-0.25, \ 0.25] \\ 0.09 \ [-0.15, \ 0.33] \\ 0.01 \ [-0.24, \ 0.26] \\ 0.18 \ [0.11, \ 0.26] \\ 0.011 \ [-0.24, \ 0.26] \\ 0.051 \ [-0.14, \ 0.26] \\ 0.22 \ [-0.05, \ 0.49] \\ 0.051 \ [-0.15, \ 0.25] \\ 0.15 \ [-0.05, \ 0.25] \\ 0.15 \ [-0.05, \ 0.25] \\ 0.15 \ [-0.05, \ 0.49] \\ 0.45 \ [0.31, \ 0.59] \\ 0.19 \ [-0.50, \ 0.43] \end{array}$	
RQ-Simpson 1992 RQ-Simpk 2003 RQ-Wijkstra 1994 SRQT-Bendstrup 1997 DDS-Jones 1985 SR-Lake 1990 GRQ-Chumsky 2001 GRQ-Flumsky 2001 GRQ-Finderstrup 1999 GRQ-Finderstrup 1999 IGRQ-Finderstrup 2001 IGRQ-Finderstrup 2001 IGRQ-Finderstrup 2001 IGRQ-Finderstrup 2001 IGRQ-Finderstrup 2001 IGRQ-Finderstrup 2001 IGRQ-Finderstrup 1998 Whotal (95% Interstrup 2000 RQ-Gomback 1997 IRQ-Gomback 1997 IRQ-Gosselink 2000 IRQ-Gosselink 2000 IRQ-Guell 1995 IRQ-Guell 1995	$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0.16 & 0.17 \\ 0.44 & 0.14 \\ 0.31 & 0.15 \\ 0.14 & 0.13 \\ -0.07 & 0.22 \\ 0.01 & 0.25 \\ 0.02 & 0.12 \\ 0 & 0.25 \\ 0 & 0.13 \\ -0.04 & 0.14 \\ 0.01 & 0.13 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.16 \ [-0.17, \ 0.49]\\ 0.44 \ [0.17, \ 0.71]\\ 0.31 \ [0.02, \ 0.60]\\ 0.14 \ [-0.11, \ 0.39]\\ -0.07 \ [-0.50, \ 0.36]\\ 0.01 \ [-0.48, \ 0.50]\\ 0.02 \ [-0.22, \ 0.26]\\ 0.00 \ [-0.48, \ 0.50]\\ 0.00 \ [-0.24, \ 0.26]\\ 0.00 \ [-0.25, \ 0.25]\\ 0.00 \ [-0.15, \ 0.33]\\ -0.04 \ [-0.24, \ 0.26]\\ 0.01 \ [-0.24, \ 0.26]\\ 0.01 \ [-0.24, \ 0.26]\\ 0.02 \ [-0.15, \ 0.23]\\ 0.01 \ [-0.24, \ 0.26]\\ 0.22 \ [-0.05, \ 0.49]\\ 0.05 \ [-0.15, \ 0.25]\\ 0.15 \ [-0.05, \ 0.35]\\ -0.17 \ [-0.37, \ 0.03]\\ 0.45 \ [0.31, \ 0.59]\\ 0.19 \ [-0.05, \ 0.41]\\ 0.12 \ [-0.17, \ 0.41]\\ \end{array}$	
RQ-Simpson 1992 RQ-Simph 2003 RQ-Wijkstra 1994 RQT-Bendstrup 1997 DDS-Jones 1985 PR-Lake 1990 DS-Lake 1990 GRQ-Enlawsky 2001 GRQ-Finnerty 2001 GRQ-Fingstrom 1999 GRQ-Fingstrom 1999 GRQ-Fingbaek 2000 IP-Emery 1998 Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; Chi rest for overall effect: Z = 4.91 L2.5 Strategy 4 LQ-Sologen 1997 RQ-Goldstein 1994 RQ-Goldstein 1994 RQ-Gorighths 2000 RQ-Gerifiths 2000 RQ-Guell 1995 RQ-Guell 1998	$\begin{array}{c} 0.16 & 0.17 \\ 0.44 & 0.14 \\ 0.31 & 0.15 \\ 0.14 & 0.13 \\ -0.07 & 0.22 \\ 0.01 & 0.25 \\ 0.02 & 0.12 \\ 0 & 0.13 \\ 0.09 & 0.12 \\ 0.04 & 0.14 \\ 0.01 & 0.13 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.16 \ [-0.17, \ 0.49] \\ 0.44 \ [0.17, \ 0.71] \\ 0.31 \ [0.02, \ 0.60] \\ 0.14 \ [-0.17, \ 0.73] \\ 0.07 \ [-0.50, \ 0.36] \\ 0.001 \ [-0.48, \ 0.50] \\ 0.001 \ [-0.24, \ 0.29] \\ 0.000 \ [-0.25, \ 0.29] \\ 0.000 \ [-0.25, \ 0.29] \\ 0.000 \ [-0.25, \ 0.29] \\ 0.001 \ [-0.24, \ 0.26] \\ 0.001 \ [-0.15, \ 0.33] \\ 0.011 \ [-0.14, \ 0.26] \\ 0.18 \ [0.11, \ 0.26] \\ 0.18 \ [0.11, \ 0.26] \\ 0.22 \ [-0.05, \ 0.49] \\ 0.55 \ [-0.15, \ 0.25] \\ 0.15 \ [-0.15, \ 0.25] \\ 0.15 \ [-0.15, \ 0.25] \\ 0.15 \ [-0.15, \ 0.25] \\ 0.15 \ [-0.15, \ 0.25] \\ 0.15 \ [-0.15, \ 0.25] \\ 0.15 \ [-0.15, \ 0.43] \\ 0.12 \ [-0.17, \ 0.43] \\ 0.12 \ [-0.17, \ 0.43] \\ 0.18 \ [-0.14, \ 0.07] \end{array}$	
FRQ-Simpson 1992 FRQ-Simph 2003 FRQ-Simph 2003 FRQ-High 2003 FRQ-High 2003 FRQT-Bendstrup 1997 DDS-Jones 1985 DR-Lake 1990 GRQ-Endstrup 1997 DDS-Jones 1985 DR-Lake 1990 GRQ-Engestrom 1999 GRQ-Finnerty 2001 GRQ-Fingbaek 2000 IP-Emery 1998 Subtotal (95% CD) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; Chi rest for overall effect: Z = 4.91 L2.5 Strategy 4 NDL-Booker 1994 RQ-Cambach 1997 RQ-Cambach 1997 RQ-Gordiscin 1994 RQ-Gordiscin 1994 RQ-Gordiscin 1994 RQ-Gordiscin 1994 RQ-Gordiscin 1994 RQ-Gordifiths 2000 RQ-Guell 1995 RQ-Guell 1995 RQ-Hingh 2003	$\begin{array}{c} 0.16 & 0.17 \\ 0.44 & 0.14 \\ 0.31 & 0.15 \\ 0.14 & 0.13 \\ -0.07 & 0.22 \\ 0.01 & 0.25 \\ 0.02 & 0.12 \\ 0 & 0.25 \\ 0.09 & 0.12 \\ 0.04 & 0.14 \\ 0.01 & 0.13 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.16 \ [-0.17, \ 0.49] \\ 0.44 \ [0.17, \ 0.71] \\ 0.31 \ [0.02, \ 0.60] \\ 0.14 \ [-0.17, \ 0.71] \\ 0.07 \ [-0.50, \ 0.36] \\ 0.00 \ [-0.28, \ 0.50] \\ 0.00 \ [-0.28, \ 0.29] \\ 0.00 \ [-0.28, \ 0.29] \\ 0.00 \ [-0.28, \ 0.29] \\ 0.00 \ [-0.28, \ 0.29] \\ 0.00 \ [-0.29, \ 0.29] \\ 0.00 \ [-0.15, \ 0.33] \\ 0.01 \ [-0.14, \ 0.26] \\ 0.18 \ [0.11, \ 0.26] \\ 0.18 \ [0.11, \ 0.26] \\ 0.22 \ [-0.05, \ 0.49] \\ 0.05 \ [-0.14, \ 0.26] \\ 0.22 \ [-0.05, \ 0.49] \\ 0.05 \ [-0.15, \ 0.35] \\ 0.17 \ [-0.15, \ 0.31] \\ 0.17 \ [-0.17, \ 0.41] \\ 0.18 \ [-0.17, \ 0.41] \\ 0.12 \ [-0.17, \ 0.71] \\ \end{array}$	
FRQ-Simpson 1992 FRQ-Simple 2003 FRQ-Simple 2003 FRQ-Mijkstra 1994 FRQT-Bendstrup 1997 DDS Jones 1985 DDS Jones 1985 GRQ-Bendstrup 1997 DDS Jones 1985 GRQ-Chlumsky 2001 GRQ-Finnerty 2001 GRQ-Fingstrom 1999 GRQ-Fingstrom 1998 Jubtotal (95% CI) Jubtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; Chi fest for overall effect: Z = 4.91 L.2.5 Strategy 4 NDL-Booker 1994 RQ-Gambach 1997 RQ-Genschink 2000 RQ-Genschink 2000 RQ-Genschink 2000 RQ-Guldstein 1994 RQ-Guldstein 1995 RQ-Hermandez 2000 RQ-Hermandez 2000 RQ-Singh 2003 RQ-Singh 2003	$\begin{array}{c} 0.16 & 0.17 \\ 0.44 & 0.14 \\ 0.31 & 0.15 \\ 0.14 & 0.13 \\ -0.07 & 0.22 \\ 0.01 & 0.25 \\ 0.02 & 0.25 \\ 0 & 0.25 \\ 0 & 0.25 \\ 0 & 0.13 \\ 0.09 & 0.12 \\ 0.04 & 0.14 \\ 0.01 & 0.13 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.16 \ [-0.17, \ 0.49]\\ 0.44 \ [0.17, \ 0.71]\\ 0.31 \ [0.02, \ 0.60]\\ 0.14 \ [-0.17, \ 0.73]\\ 0.01 \ [-0.50, \ 0.30]\\ 0.01 \ [-0.50, \ 0.30]\\ 0.01 \ [-0.22, \ 0.26]\\ 0.00 \ [-0.22, \ 0.26]\\ 0.00 \ [-0.22, \ 0.26]\\ 0.00 \ [-0.25, \ 0.25]\\ 0.09 \ [-0.15, \ 0.33]\\ 0.01 \ [-0.24, \ 0.26]\\ 0.01 \ [-0.24, \ 0.26]\\ 0.18 \ [0.11, \ 0.26]\\ 0.22 \ [-0.05, \ 0.49]\\ 0.05 \ [-0.15, \ 0.25]\\ 0.15 \ [-0.05, \ 0.49]\\ 0.05 \ [-0.15, \ 0.25]\\ 0.15 \ [-0.05, \ 0.49]\\ 0.45 \ [0.31, \ 0.59]\\ 0.15 \ [-0.43, \ 0.07]\\ 0.66 \ [-0.43, \ 0.07]\\ 0.18 \ [-0.43, \ 0.07]\\ 0.48 \ [-0.43, \ 0.07]\\ 0.44 \ [0.17, \ 0.3]\\ 0.43 \ [0.02, \ 0.60]\\ \end{array}$	
FRQ-Simpson 1992 FRQ-Simph 2003 FRQ-Simph 2003 FRQ-High 2003 FRQ-High 2003 FRQT-Bendstrup 1997 DDS-Jones 1985 DR-Lake 1990 GRQ-Endstrup 1997 DDS-Jones 1985 DR-Lake 1990 GRQ-Engestrom 1999 GRQ-Finnerty 2001 GRQ-Fingbaek 2000 IP-Emery 1998 Subtotal (95% CD) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; Chi rest for overall effect: Z = 4.91 L2.5 Strategy 4 NDL-Booker 1994 RQ-Cambach 1997 RQ-Cambach 1997 RQ-Gordiscin 1994 RQ-Gordiscin 1994 RQ-Gordiscin 1994 RQ-Gordiscin 1994 RQ-Gordiscin 1994 RQ-Gordifiths 2000 RQ-Guell 1995 RQ-Guell 1995 RQ-Hingh 2003	$\begin{array}{c} 0.16 & 0.17 \\ 0.44 & 0.14 \\ 0.31 & 0.15 \\ 0.14 & 0.13 \\ -0.07 & 0.22 \\ 0.01 & 0.25 \\ 0.02 & 0.12 \\ 0 & 0.25 \\ 0.09 & 0.12 \\ 0.04 & 0.14 \\ 0.01 & 0.13 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.16 \ [-0.17, \ 0.49] \\ 0.44 \ [0.17, \ 0.71] \\ 0.31 \ [0.02, \ 0.60] \\ 0.14 \ [-0.17, \ 0.71] \\ 0.07 \ [-0.50, \ 0.36] \\ 0.00 \ [-0.28, \ 0.50] \\ 0.00 \ [-0.28, \ 0.29] \\ 0.00 \ [-0.28, \ 0.29] \\ 0.00 \ [-0.28, \ 0.29] \\ 0.00 \ [-0.28, \ 0.29] \\ 0.00 \ [-0.29, \ 0.29] \\ 0.00 \ [-0.15, \ 0.33] \\ 0.01 \ [-0.14, \ 0.26] \\ 0.18 \ [0.11, \ 0.26] \\ 0.18 \ [0.11, \ 0.26] \\ 0.22 \ [-0.05, \ 0.49] \\ 0.05 \ [-0.14, \ 0.26] \\ 0.22 \ [-0.05, \ 0.49] \\ 0.05 \ [-0.15, \ 0.35] \\ 0.17 \ [-0.15, \ 0.31] \\ 0.17 \ [-0.17, \ 0.41] \\ 0.18 \ [-0.17, \ 0.41] \\ 0.12 \ [-0.17, \ 0.71] \\ \end{array}$	
FRQ-Simpson 1992 FRQ-Simph 2003 FRQ-Simph 2003 FRQ-High 2003 FRQ-High 2003 SRQ-High 2003 GRQ-Canded 1990 GRQ-Encode GRQ-Findersy 2001 GRQ-Candback 2000 IP-Emery 1998 Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; Chi Fest for overall effect: Z = 4.91 L.2.5 Strategy 4 DDL-Booker 1994 SRQ-Cambach 1997 SRQ-Gould 1998 GRQ-Gould 1995 GRQ-Guell 1995 GRQ-Guell 1995 GRQ-Guell 1995 GRQ-Simpson 1992 SRQ-Simpson 1992 SRQ-Simpson 1992 SRQ-Simedstrup 1997 DS-Jones 1985 SR-Lake 1990	$\begin{array}{c} 0.16 & 0.17 \\ 0.44 & 0.14 \\ 0.31 & 0.15 \\ 0.14 & 0.13 \\ -0.07 & 0.22 \\ 0.01 & 0.25 \\ 0.02 & 0.12 \\ 0 & 0.25 \\ 0.09 & 0.13 \\ 0.09 & 0.13 \\ 0.01 & 0.13 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.16 \ [-0.17, \ 0.49] \\ 0.44 \ [0.17, \ 0.71] \\ 0.31 \ [0.02, \ 0.60] \\ 0.14 \ [-0.17, \ 0.71] \\ 0.07 \ [-0.50, \ 0.36] \\ 0.07 \ [-0.48, \ 0.50] \\ 0.02 \ [-0.22, \ 0.26] \\ 0.02 \ [-0.22, \ 0.26] \\ 0.00 \ [-0.49, \ 0.46] \\ 0.00 \ [-0.49, \ 0.46] \\ 0.00 \ [-0.25, \ 0.33] \\ 0.00 \ [-0.25, \ 0.33] \\ 0.01 \ [-0.24, \ 0.26] \\ 0.18 \ [0.11, \ 0.26] \\ 0.18 \ [0.11, \ 0.26] \\ 0.22 \ [-0.5, \ 0.49] \\ 0.05 \ [-0.15, \ 0.25] \\ 0.15 \ [-0.05, \ 0.35] \\ -0.17 \ [-0.37, \ 0.03] \\ 0.19 \ [-0.25, \ 0.35] \\ 0.19 \ [-0.27, \ 0.39] \\ 0.46 \ [0.17, \ 0.71] \\ 0.31 \ [0.02, \ 0.60] \\ 0.00 \ [-0.27, \ 0.28] \\ 0.04 \ [-0.17, \ 0.71] \\ 0.31 \ [0.02, \ 0.60] \\ 0.00 \ [-0.55, \ 0.25] \\ -0.15 \ [-0.58, \ 0.28] \\ -0.02 \ [-0.51, \ 0.47] \end{array}$	
FRQ-Simpson 1992 FRQ-Simple 2003 FRQ-Simple 2003 FRQ-Highstra 1994 FRQT-Bendstrup 1997 DDS-Jones 1985 FRQ-Bendstrup 1997 DDS-Jones 1985 FRQ-Bendstrup 1997 DSC-Source GRQ-Chlumsky 2001 GRQ-Finnerty 2001 GRQ-Fingstrom 1999 GRQ-Fingback 2000 IP-Emery 1998 Subtotal (95% CI) Motoral (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; Chi rest for overall effect: Z = 4.91 L2.5 Strategy 4 NDL-Booker 1994 FRQ-Goldstein 1994 FRQ-Goldstein 1994 FRQ-Guell 1995 FRQ-Guell 1995 FRQ-Guell 1995 FRQ-Guell 1995 FRQ-Houndact 2000 RQ-Simpson 1992 FRQ-Houndact 2000 RQ-Finston 1994 FRQ-Forestinktra 1994 FRQ-Forestinktra 1994 FRQ-Forestingts FRQ-Forestingts Sps-Jones 1985 Sps-Lake 1990 GRQ-Boxall 2005	$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0.16 & 0.17 \\ 0.44 & 0.14 \\ 0.31 & 0.15 \\ 0.14 & 0.13 \\ -0.07 & 0.22 \\ 0.01 & 0.25 \\ 0.02 & 0.025 \\ 0 & 0.12 \\ 0 & 0.13 \\ 0.09 & 0.12 \\ 0.04 & 0.14 \\ 0.01 & 0.13 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.16 \ [-0.17, \ 0.49] \\ 0.44 \ [0.17, \ 0.71] \\ 0.31 \ [0.02, \ 0.60] \\ 0.14 \ [-0.17, \ 0.73] \\ 0.07 \ [-0.50, \ 0.36] \\ 0.02 \ [-0.48, \ 0.56] \\ 0.02 \ [-0.48, \ 0.56] \\ 0.02 \ [-0.48, \ 0.56] \\ 0.00 \ [-0.25, \ 0.25] \\ 0.09 \ [-0.15, \ 0.33] \\ 0.01 \ [-0.14, \ 0.26] \\ 0.18 \ [0.11, \ 0.26] \\ 0.18 \ [0.11, \ 0.26] \\ 0.22 \ [-0.05, \ 0.49] \\ 0.05 \ [-0.15, \ 0.25] \\ 0.15 \ [-0.15, \ 0.25] \\ 0.15 \ [-0.15, \ 0.25] \\ 0.15 \ [-0.15, \ 0.35] \\ 0.15 \ [-0.17, \ 0.41] \\ 0.16 \ [-0.17, \ 0.71] \\ 0.16 \ [-0.17, \ 0.71] \\ 0.16 \ [-0.17, \ 0.71] \\ 0.16 \ [-0.17, \ 0.71] \\ 0.16 \ [-0.17, \ 0.71] \\ 0.16 \ [-0.17, \ 0.71] \\ 0.16 \ [-0.25, \ 0.25] \\ 0.15 \ [-0.58, \ 0.25] \\ -0.02 \ [-0.51, \ 0.47] \\ -0.03 \ [-0.58, \ 0.28] \\ -0.02 \ [-0.51, \ 0.47] \\ -0.16 \ [-0.58, \ 0.12] \end{array}$	
FRQ-Simpson 1992 FRQ-Simple 2003 FRQ-Simple 2003 FRQ-Hightstra 1994 SRQT-Bendstrup 1997 DDS-Jones 1985 SR-Lake 1990 GRQ-Chumsky 2005 GRQ-Childmsky 2009 GRQ-Findeny 2000 IP-Emery 1998 Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; Chi Fest for overall effect: Z = 4.91 L.2.5 Strategy 4 NDL-Booker 1994 SRQ-Cambach 1997 SRQ-Gould 1998 GRQ-Guell 1995 GRQ-Guell 1995 RQ-Guell 1995 RQ-Guell 1995 RQ-Guell 1995 SRQ-Guell 1995 SRQ-Guell 1995 RQ-Simmson 1992 SRQ-Simmson 1992 RQ-Simmson 1992 RQ-Simedstrup 1997 DS-Jones 1985 SR-Lake 1990	$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0.16 & 0.17 \\ 0.44 & 0.14 \\ 0.31 & 0.15 \\ 0.14 & 0.13 \\ -0.07 & 0.22 \\ 0.01 & 0.25 \\ 0.02 & 0.12 \\ 0 & 0.25 \\ 0 & 0.25 \\ 0 & 0.13 \\ 0.09 & 0.14 \\ 0.01 & 0.13 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.16 \ [-0.17, \ 0.49] \\ 0.44 \ [0.17, \ 0.71] \\ 0.31 \ [0.02, \ 0.60] \\ 0.14 \ [-0.17, \ 0.71] \\ 0.07 \ [-0.50, \ 0.36] \\ 0.001 \ [-0.48, \ 0.50] \\ 0.02 \ [-0.22, \ 0.26] \\ 0.009 \ [-0.49, \ 0.49] \\ 0.009 \ [-0.25, \ 0.33] \\ 0.004 \ [-0.31, \ 0.23] \\ 0.014 \ [-0.31, \ 0.26] \\ 0.18 \ [0.11, \ 0.26] \\ 0.18 \ [0.11, \ 0.26] \\ 0.22 \ [-0.5, \ 0.49] \\ 0.05 \ [-0.15, \ 0.35] \\ 0.15 \ [-0.05, \ 0.49] \\ 0.55 \ [-0.17, \ 0.43] \\ 0.17 \ [-0.37, \ 0.39] \\ 0.19 \ [-0.25, \ 0.25] \\ 0.19 \ [-0.25, \ 0.25] \\ 0.19 \ [-0.25, \ 0.25] \\ 0.19 \ [-0.25, \ 0.25] \\ 0.19 \ [-0.25, \ 0.25] \\ 0.19 \ [-0.25, \ 0.25] \\ 0.06 \ [-0.17, \ 0.43] \\ 0.06 \ [-0.17, \ 0.43] \\ 0.06 \ [-0.55, \ 0.25] \\ 0.05 \ [-0.55, \ 0.25] \\ -0.05 \ [-0.55, \ 0.25] \\ -0.05 \ [-0.55, \ 0.25] \\ -0.05 \ [-0.55, \ 0.25] \\ -0.05 \ [-0.38, \ 0.47] \\ -0.13 \ [-0.38, \ 0.49] \\ \end{array}$	
FRQ-Simpson 1992 FRQ-Simple 2003 FRQ-Simple 2003 FRQ-High 2003 FRQ-High 2003 FRQ-Simple 2005 GRQ-Chumsky 2005 GRQ-Chumsky 2009 GRQ-Figstrom 1999 GRQ-Figstrom 1998 Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; Chi Fest for overall effect: Z = 4.91 L.2.5 Strategy 4 NDL-Booker 1994 SRQ-Genkez 2000 FRQ-Genkez 2000 FRQ-Genkez 2000 RQ-Genkel 1995 RQ-Guell 1995 RQ-Guell 1995 RQ-Guell 1995 RQ-Guell 1995 RQ-Simpon 192 CRO-Simpon 192 CRO-Simpon 192 RQ-Simpon 192 RQ-Simpon 192 RQ-Simpon 1997 DS-Jones 1985 SR-Lake 1990 GRQ-Boxall 2005 GRQ-Guelloumsky 2001 GRQ-Engstrom 1999 </td <td>$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0.16 & 0.17 \\ 0.44 & 0.14 \\ 0.31 & 0.15 \\ 0.14 & 0.13 \\ -0.07 & 0.22 \\ 0.01 & 0.25 \\ 0.02 & 0.12 \\ 0 & 0.25 \\ 0 & 0.13 \\ 0.09 & 0.12 \\ -0.04 & 0.14 \\ 0.01 & 0.13 \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 2 & 36.37, df = 20 (P = (P = (P = 0.00001)) \\ \hline 0.22 & 0.14 \\ 0.05 & 0.1 \\ 0.22 & 0.14 \\ 0.05 & 0.1 \\ 0.15 & 0.1 \\ -0.17 & 0.1 \\ 0.15 & 0.1 \\ -0.18 & 0.13 \\ 0.06 & 0.17 \\ 0.19 & 0.12 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 & 0.13 \\ -0.15 & 0.25 \\ -0.02 & 0.25 \\ -0.06 & 0.13 \\ -0.06 & 0.13 \\ -0.05 & 0.12 \\ -0.06 & 0.13 \\ -0.05 & 0.25 \\ -0.06 & 0.12 \\ -0.06 & 0.12 \\ \end{array}$</td> <td>$\begin{array}{c} 0.16 \ [-0.17, \ 0.49]\\ 0.44 \ [0.17, \ 0.71]\\ 0.31 \ [0.02, \ 0.60]\\ 0.14 \ [-0.11, \ 0.39]\\ -0.07 \ [-0.50, \ 0.36]\\ 0.001 \ [-0.48, \ 0.50]\\ 0.002 \ [-0.22, \ 0.26]\\ 0.009 \ [-0.24, \ 0.23]\\ 0.009 \ [-0.25, \ 0.23]\\ 0.001 \ [-0.24, \ 0.23]\\ 0.011 \ [-0.24, \ 0.26]\\ 0.18 \ [0.11, \ 0.26]\\ 0.18 \ [0.11, \ 0.26]\\ 0.22 \ [-0.05, \ 0.49]\\ 0.05 \ [-0.15, \ 0.25]\\ 0.15 \ [-0.05, \ 0.35]\\ -0.17 \ [-0.37, \ 0.03]\\ 0.45 \ [0.31, \ 0.59]\\ 0.19 \ [-0.27, \ 0.23]\\ 0.31 \ [0.02, \ 0.31]\\ 0.45 \ [0.31, \ 0.59]\\ 0.31 \ [0.02, \ 0.43]\\ 0.31 \ [0.02, \ 0.43]\\ 0.31 \ [0.02, \ 0.43]\\ 0.31 \ [0.02, \ 0.43]\\ 0.31 \ [0.02, \ 0.43]\\ 0.031 \ [0.02, \ 0.43]\\ 0.031 \ [0.02, \ 0.43]\\ 0.031 \ [0.02, \ 0.43]\\ -0.05 \ [-0.51, \ 0.43]\\ -0.05 \ [-0.51, \ 0.43]\\ -0.05 \ [-0.51, \ 0.43]\\ -0.05 \ [-0.51, \ 0.43]\\ -0.05 \ [-0.34, \ 0.14]\\ -0.16 \ [-0.34, \ 0.14]\\ \end{array}$</td> <td></td>	$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0.16 & 0.17 \\ 0.44 & 0.14 \\ 0.31 & 0.15 \\ 0.14 & 0.13 \\ -0.07 & 0.22 \\ 0.01 & 0.25 \\ 0.02 & 0.12 \\ 0 & 0.25 \\ 0 & 0.13 \\ 0.09 & 0.12 \\ -0.04 & 0.14 \\ 0.01 & 0.13 \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 2 & 36.37, df = 20 (P = (P = (P = 0.00001)) \\ \hline 0.22 & 0.14 \\ 0.05 & 0.1 \\ 0.22 & 0.14 \\ 0.05 & 0.1 \\ 0.15 & 0.1 \\ -0.17 & 0.1 \\ 0.15 & 0.1 \\ -0.18 & 0.13 \\ 0.06 & 0.17 \\ 0.19 & 0.12 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 & 0.13 \\ -0.15 & 0.25 \\ -0.02 & 0.25 \\ -0.06 & 0.13 \\ -0.06 & 0.13 \\ -0.05 & 0.12 \\ -0.06 & 0.13 \\ -0.05 & 0.25 \\ -0.06 & 0.12 \\ -0.06 & 0.12 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.16 \ [-0.17, \ 0.49]\\ 0.44 \ [0.17, \ 0.71]\\ 0.31 \ [0.02, \ 0.60]\\ 0.14 \ [-0.11, \ 0.39]\\ -0.07 \ [-0.50, \ 0.36]\\ 0.001 \ [-0.48, \ 0.50]\\ 0.002 \ [-0.22, \ 0.26]\\ 0.009 \ [-0.24, \ 0.23]\\ 0.009 \ [-0.25, \ 0.23]\\ 0.001 \ [-0.24, \ 0.23]\\ 0.011 \ [-0.24, \ 0.26]\\ 0.18 \ [0.11, \ 0.26]\\ 0.18 \ [0.11, \ 0.26]\\ 0.22 \ [-0.05, \ 0.49]\\ 0.05 \ [-0.15, \ 0.25]\\ 0.15 \ [-0.05, \ 0.35]\\ -0.17 \ [-0.37, \ 0.03]\\ 0.45 \ [0.31, \ 0.59]\\ 0.19 \ [-0.27, \ 0.23]\\ 0.31 \ [0.02, \ 0.31]\\ 0.45 \ [0.31, \ 0.59]\\ 0.31 \ [0.02, \ 0.43]\\ 0.31 \ [0.02, \ 0.43]\\ 0.31 \ [0.02, \ 0.43]\\ 0.31 \ [0.02, \ 0.43]\\ 0.31 \ [0.02, \ 0.43]\\ 0.031 \ [0.02, \ 0.43]\\ 0.031 \ [0.02, \ 0.43]\\ 0.031 \ [0.02, \ 0.43]\\ -0.05 \ [-0.51, \ 0.43]\\ -0.05 \ [-0.51, \ 0.43]\\ -0.05 \ [-0.51, \ 0.43]\\ -0.05 \ [-0.51, \ 0.43]\\ -0.05 \ [-0.34, \ 0.14]\\ -0.16 \ [-0.34, \ 0.14]\\ \end{array}$	
RQ-Simpson 1992 RQ-Jimpson 1993 RQ-Wijkstra 1994 RQT-Bendstrup 1997 DS-Jones 1985 RR-Lake 1990 DS-Lake 1990 SGRO-Chumsky 2001 GRQ-Engstrom 1999 GRQ-Finnerty 2001 GRQ-Chumsky 2001 GRQ-Finnerty 2001 GRQ-Chumsky 2001 GRQ-Finnerty 2001 GRQ-Compasted 2000 IP-Emery 1998 Subtotal (95% CD) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; Chi rest for overall effect: Z = 4.91 L2.5 Strategy 4 NDL-Booker 1994 RQ-Benknke 2000 RQ-Genselink 2000	$\begin{array}{c} 0.16 & 0.17 \\ 0.44 & 0.14 \\ 0.31 & 0.15 \\ 0.14 & 0.13 \\ -0.07 & 0.22 \\ 0.01 & 0.25 \\ 0.02 & 0.12 \\ 0 & 0.13 \\ 0.09 & 0.12 \\ 0.04 & 0.14 \\ 0.01 & 0.13 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.16 \ [-0.17, \ 0.49] \\ 0.44 \ [0.17, \ 0.71] \\ 0.31 \ [0.02, \ 0.60] \\ 0.14 \ [-0.17, \ 0.73] \\ 0.07 \ [-0.50, \ 0.36] \\ 0.001 \ [-0.48, \ 0.50] \\ 0.001 \ [-0.48, \ 0.50] \\ 0.000 \ [-0.28, \ 0.49] \\ 0.000 \ [-0.25, \ 0.25] \\ 0.091 \ [-0.15, \ 0.33] \\ -0.04 \ [-0.31, \ 0.23] \\ 0.01 \ [-0.24, \ 0.26] \\ 0.18 \ [0.11, \ 0.26] \\ 0.18 \ [0.11, \ 0.26] \\ 0.22 \ [-0.05, \ 0.49] \\ 0.25 \ [-0.15, \ 0.25] \\ 0.15 \ [-0.15, \ 0.25] \\ 0.15 \ [-0.15, \ 0.25] \\ 0.15 \ [-0.15, \ 0.25] \\ 0.15 \ [-0.15, \ 0.25] \\ 0.15 \ [-0.15, \ 0.25] \\ 0.15 \ [-0.05, \ 0.49] \\ 0.45 \ [0.31, \ 0.59] \\ 0.45 \ [0.31, \ 0.59] \\ 0.45 \ [0.31, \ 0.59] \\ 0.45 \ [0.25, \ 0.25] \\ 0.16 \ [-0.27, \ 0.39] \\ 0.31 \ [0.02, \ 0.60] \\ 0.31 \ [-0.58, \ 0.28] \\ -0.12 \ [-0.58, \ 0.12] \\ -0.12 \ [-0.38, \ 0.12] \\ -0.00 \ [-0.34, \ 0.14] \\ -0.00 \ [-0.34, \ 0.23] \\ \end{array}$	
FRQ-Simpson 1992 FRQ-Simple 2003 FRQ-Simple 2003 FRQ-High 2003 FRQ-High 2003 FRQ-Simple 2005 GRQ-Chumsky 2005 GRQ-Chumsky 2009 GRQ-Figstrom 1999 GRQ-Figstrom 1998 Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; Chi Fest for overall effect: Z = 4.91 L.2.5 Strategy 4 NDL-Booker 1994 SRQ-Genkez 2000 FRQ-Genkez 2000 FRQ-Genkez 2000 RQ-Genkel 1995 RQ-Guell 1995 RQ-Guell 1995 RQ-Guell 1995 RQ-Guell 1995 RQ-Simpon 192 CRO-Simpon 192 CRO-Simpon 192 RQ-Simpon 192 RQ-Simpon 192 RQ-Simpon 1997 DS-Jones 1985 SR-Lake 1990 GRQ-Boxall 2005 GRQ-Guelloumsky 2001 GRQ-Engstrom 1999 </td <td>$\begin{array}{c} 0.16 & 0.17 \\ 0.44 & 0.14 \\ 0.31 & 0.15 \\ 0.14 & 0.13 \\ -0.07 & 0.22 \\ 0.01 & 0.25 \\ 0.02 & 0.12 \\ 0 & 0.25 \\ 0.09 & 0.12 \\ 0.09 & 0.12 \\ 0.04 & 0.14 \\ 0.01 & 0.13 \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} ^{2} = 36.37, df = 20 (P = (P = (P < 0.00001)) \\ 0.22 & 0.14 \\ 0.05 & 0.14 \\ 0.15 & 0.12 \\ 0.12 & 0.15 \\ 0.12 & 0.15 \\ 0.12 & 0.13 \\ 0.06 & 0.17 \\ 0.14 & 0.14 \\ 0.31 & 0.15 \\ 0 & 0.13 \\ -0.15 & 0.22 \\ -0.02 & 0.25 \\ -0.13 & 0.13 \\ -0.15 & 0.13 \\ -0.13 & 0.15 \\ 0.06 & 0.13 \\ -0.13 & 0.15 \\ -0.13 & 0.13 \\ -0.14 & 0.14 \\ 0.01 & 0.13 \end{array}$</td> <td>$\begin{array}{c} 0.16 \ [-0.17, \ 0.49]\\ 0.44 \ [0.17, \ 0.71]\\ 0.31 \ [0.02, \ 0.60]\\ 0.14 \ [-0.17, \ 0.73]\\ 0.07 \ [-0.50, \ 0.36]\\ 0.001 \ [-0.24, \ 0.50]\\ 0.000 \ [-0.25, \ 0.29]\\ 0.000 \ [-0.25, \ 0.29]\\ 0.000 \ [-0.25, \ 0.29]\\ 0.000 \ [-0.25, \ 0.29]\\ 0.001 \ [-0.24, \ 0.26]\\ 0.18 \ [0.11, \ 0.26]\\ 0.18 \ [0.11, \ 0.26]\\ 0.18 \ [0.11, \ 0.26]\\ 0.18 \ [0.11, \ 0.26]\\ 0.22 \ [-0.05, \ 0.49]\\ 0.55 \ [-0.15, \ 0.25]\\ 0.15 \ [-0.15, \ 0.25]\\ 0.15 \ [-0.15, \ 0.25]\\ 0.15 \ [-0.15, \ 0.25]\\ 0.15 \ [-0.15, \ 0.25]\\ 0.15 \ [-0.15, \ 0.25]\\ 0.15 \ [-0.15, \ 0.43]\\ 0.15 \ [-0.15, \ 0.43]\\ 0.12 \ [-0.17, \ 0.41]\\ 0.06 \ [-0.27, \ 0.39]\\ 0.44 \ [0.17, \ 0.71]\\ 0.31 \ [0.02, \ 0.60]\\ 0.00 \ [-0.25, \ 0.25]\\ -0.15 \ [-0.58, \ 0.28]\\ -0.02 \ [-0.51, \ 0.47]\\ -0.13 \ [-0.34, \ 0.13]\\ -0.06 \ [-0.34, \ 0.13]\\ -0.06 \ [-0.34, \ 0.13]\\ -0.01 \ [-0.24, \ 0.26]\\ 0.07 \ [-0.24, \ 0.26]\\ 0.07 \ [-0.24, \ 0.26]\\ 0.07 \ [-0.24, \ 0.26]\\ 0.07 \ [-0.24, \ 0.26]\\ 0.07 \ [-0.24, \ 0.26]\\ \end{array}$</td> <td></td>	$\begin{array}{c} 0.16 & 0.17 \\ 0.44 & 0.14 \\ 0.31 & 0.15 \\ 0.14 & 0.13 \\ -0.07 & 0.22 \\ 0.01 & 0.25 \\ 0.02 & 0.12 \\ 0 & 0.25 \\ 0.09 & 0.12 \\ 0.09 & 0.12 \\ 0.04 & 0.14 \\ 0.01 & 0.13 \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} ^{2} = 36.37, df = 20 (P = (P = (P < 0.00001)) \\ 0.22 & 0.14 \\ 0.05 & 0.14 \\ 0.05 & 0.14 \\ 0.05 & 0.14 \\ 0.05 & 0.14 \\ 0.05 & 0.14 \\ 0.15 & 0.12 \\ 0.12 & 0.15 \\ 0.12 & 0.15 \\ 0.12 & 0.13 \\ 0.06 & 0.17 \\ 0.14 & 0.14 \\ 0.31 & 0.15 \\ 0 & 0.13 \\ -0.15 & 0.22 \\ -0.02 & 0.25 \\ -0.13 & 0.13 \\ -0.15 & 0.13 \\ -0.13 & 0.15 \\ 0.06 & 0.13 \\ -0.13 & 0.15 \\ -0.13 & 0.13 \\ -0.14 & 0.14 \\ 0.01 & 0.13 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.16 \ [-0.17, \ 0.49]\\ 0.44 \ [0.17, \ 0.71]\\ 0.31 \ [0.02, \ 0.60]\\ 0.14 \ [-0.17, \ 0.73]\\ 0.07 \ [-0.50, \ 0.36]\\ 0.001 \ [-0.24, \ 0.50]\\ 0.000 \ [-0.25, \ 0.29]\\ 0.000 \ [-0.25, \ 0.29]\\ 0.000 \ [-0.25, \ 0.29]\\ 0.000 \ [-0.25, \ 0.29]\\ 0.001 \ [-0.24, \ 0.26]\\ 0.18 \ [0.11, \ 0.26]\\ 0.18 \ [0.11, \ 0.26]\\ 0.18 \ [0.11, \ 0.26]\\ 0.18 \ [0.11, \ 0.26]\\ 0.22 \ [-0.05, \ 0.49]\\ 0.55 \ [-0.15, \ 0.25]\\ 0.15 \ [-0.15, \ 0.25]\\ 0.15 \ [-0.15, \ 0.25]\\ 0.15 \ [-0.15, \ 0.25]\\ 0.15 \ [-0.15, \ 0.25]\\ 0.15 \ [-0.15, \ 0.25]\\ 0.15 \ [-0.15, \ 0.43]\\ 0.15 \ [-0.15, \ 0.43]\\ 0.12 \ [-0.17, \ 0.41]\\ 0.06 \ [-0.27, \ 0.39]\\ 0.44 \ [0.17, \ 0.71]\\ 0.31 \ [0.02, \ 0.60]\\ 0.00 \ [-0.25, \ 0.25]\\ -0.15 \ [-0.58, \ 0.28]\\ -0.02 \ [-0.51, \ 0.47]\\ -0.13 \ [-0.34, \ 0.13]\\ -0.06 \ [-0.34, \ 0.13]\\ -0.06 \ [-0.34, \ 0.13]\\ -0.01 \ [-0.24, \ 0.26]\\ 0.07 \ [-0.24, \ 0.26]\\ 0.07 \ [-0.24, \ 0.26]\\ 0.07 \ [-0.24, \ 0.26]\\ 0.07 \ [-0.24, \ 0.26]\\ 0.07 \ [-0.24, \ 0.26]\\ \end{array}$	

-1 -0.5 0 0.5 1 Favours control Favours rehabilitation