Variation in definition and measurement of primary outcomes in Cochrane systematic reviews of pharmacological treatment of stable COPD

Maekawa R¹, Castillo M¹, Huayanay L¹
1 Universidad Peruana Cayetano Heredia, Unidad de Epidemiologia clínica, Perú

Background:

An important element in defining the efficacy of a treatment is the appropriate selection of the primary outcome(s). Previous studies have demonstrated the heterogeneity of selection of primary outcome(s) in Cochrane systematic reviews(SR) that occurs between systematic reviews and between clinical trials of the same systematic review. The Task Force of American Thoracic Society and European Respiratory Society (ATS/ERS), published in 2008, Outcome for Pharmacological trial (1), that is considered as the basis for the core outcome set (COS) of pharmacological treatment of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) according to COSMET (Core Outcome Measures in Effectiveness Trials) initiative(2). There is no previous study that compared the application of these recommendations in the Cochrane systematic reviews.

Objectives:

- To evaluate the concordance of definitions and measurements of the most frequent main results among systematic reviews on pharmacological treatment of stable COPD.
- 2. Evaluate if the most relevant outcomes follow the recommendations of the ATS/ERS task force

Methods

Cochrane SR on stable COPD pharmacological treatment was selected between 2008 and 2019. Two investigators extracted the most frequent major outcomes from the Cochrane SR methods section. For each primary outcome, the definition and measurement method was extracted according to the systematic review. The concordance of these variables was evaluated among systematic reviews. It was checked if they meet the guidelines of the ATS/ ERS task force

Results

We identified 25 systematic reviews on pharmacological treatment of stable COPD. The most frequent principal outcome were: exacerbation of COPD 21/25, quality of life 15/25, pulmonary function tests 8/25 and mortality 8/25. We consider the most relevant outcome the exacerbation of COPD . 18 RS were found where exacerbation was considered as the main outcome, whose differences in definitions, domain, specific metric(3,4) are shown in the table 1.

Most reviews do not show COPD exacerbation measurement method: written, electronic, patient or researcher source or medical history. It was observed that the results presented different measurement time (see table 2), only a few considered exacerbations more than 1 year, that is considered the ideal time (5).

The different definitions for COPD exacerbation were reviewed in the Nannini SR (Table 3)

The recommendations of the ATS/ERS task force proposed the definition of exacerbation of COPD would be an increase in respiratory symptoms over baselien that usually requires change in therapy(1).

TABLE 1: Definitions, Domain, Specific metric in outcomes of COPD exacerbation in SR

SR		AUTHOR (#RCT)	DEFINITION	SPECIFIC METRIC	DOMAIN
1	10	Chong 2012(6); Welsh 2013(1), Karner 2014(14), Ni 2014(10), Rojas Reyes 2016(3) Horita 2017(9), Ni 2017(4), Ni 2018(2), Sliwka 2018 (2), Spencer (4)	AB, OC OR BOTH	Patient with >=1 exacerbation	Exacerbation Moderate or Severe exacerbation
	3	Nannini 2012(9), Nannin2013(7),	AB, OC OR HOSP	Exacerbation rates per participant per year; patient with >=1 exacerbation; Hospitalissation due to exacerbation.	Exacerbation/Sever e exacerb
	1	Kew 2013(7)	AB, OC OR HOSP	Severe exacerbation	Severe exacerbation
	1	Tan 2016(0)	AB, OC OR HOSP	Acute exacerbation COPD	Acute exacerbation COPD
	1	Maqsood 2019(3)	AB, CS, EMG OR HOSP	Acute exacerbations of COPD	Exacerbation
	2	Nannini 2013(7), Farne 2015(4)	Different definitions	Hospital admission (exacerbation)	Exacerbation
	1	OBA 2018 (21) Network metanalisis	Not define		Moderate or Severe exacerbation

TABLE 3: Different definition of COPD exacerbation in Nannini 2013 SR

Trial	COPD exacerbation definition
Tilal	
	Mild exacerbations = number of days with intake of 4 or more puffs
	of rescue medication
	Severe exacerbation = intake of a course of oral steroids and/or
Calverley	antibiotics and/or hospitalisation
2003	due to respiratory symptoms Mild exacerbation = clinically judged deterioration of COPD
	symptoms (managed with increased
	short-acting bronchodilator use: ≥ 12 inhalations/d of SABA/short-
	acting anticholinergic, or ≥ 2
	nebulised treatments/d of 2.5 mg SABA/short-acting anticholinergic)
	on any two consecutive days
	Moderate exacerbation = clinically judged deterioration of COPD with an acute change in symptoms that required antibiotic and/or
	oral steroid treatment for lower airway disease
Doherty	Severeexacerbation = deterioration of COPDthat resulted in
2012/Tashk	emergency treatment or hospitalisation
in 2012	due to COPD
	"Exacerbations were defined as worsening of COPDsymptoms
	leading to hospitalisation, a visit to the
	emergency room, or use of an antimicrobial agent and/or systemic
Sin 2008	corticosteroids as an outpatient"
	Mild exacerbations = a day with ≥ 4 inhalations of reliever
	medication above the mean run-in use
	Severe exacerbation = use of oral steroids and/or antibiotics and/or
Szafranski	hospitalisation due to respiratory
2003	symptoms
Tashkin	"Worsening of COPD symptoms that required treatment with oral
2008	corticosteroids and/or hospitalisation" "A symptomatic deterioration requiring treatment with antibiotic
	agents, systemic corticosteroids, hospitalisation, or a combination of
TORCH	these"
	"Exacerbations were defined a priori as a worsening of COPD symptoms
	that required treatment
	with antibiotics, oral corticosteroids, or both. Episodes that required
TRISTAN	corticosteroid treatment or hospital admission were noted separately"
	"An exacerbation was defined as use of oral/IV corticosteroids and/or
	antibiotics and/or emergency

Zhong 2012 room treatment/hospitalisation due to respiratory symptoms"

TABLE 2: Lenght of studies in SR with outcomes of COPD exacerbation

TOTAL RS	AUTHORS	LENGHT OF STUDY
3	NI 2018(2),SLIWKA 2018 (2), MAQSOOD 2019(3)	<52W
10	CHONG2012(6), KEW 2013(7), NANNINI 2013(7), NANNINI 2013(7), KARNER 2014(22), NI 2014(10),FARNE 2015(5),HORITA 2017(9), NI 2017(4), OBA 2018 (21/13),	> Y <52W
5	SPRNCER 2011(4), NANNINI 2012(9),WELSH2013(1), ROJAS -REYES 2016(3), TAN (0)	>=52 W

Conclusion

The primary outcome most frequently measured in the SRs was exacerbation of COPD, the definitions were similar among the systematic reviews but the concordance of the definitions among the trials of a systematic review was heterogeneous.

There were also discrepancies between the recommendations of the ATS / ERS working group for the definition and measurement of COPD exacerbation.

The editorial group could suggest that the authors describe more clearly the criteria for selecting the outcomes of the trials that were grouped in SR

References

- (1) Cazzola M, MacNee W, Martinez FJ, et al. Outcomes fo COPD pharmacological trials: from lung function to biomarkers. Eur Respir J. 2008;31(2):416-469 doi:10.1183/09031936.00099306
- (2) http://www.comet-initiative.org/studies/searchresults.
- (3) Zarin DA, Tse T, Williams RJ, et al. The ClinicalTrials government of results database--update and key issues. N Engl J Med 2011;364(9):852–860. doi: 10.1056/NEJMsa1012065.
- (4) Zarin DA, Tse T, Sheehan J. Trial Reporting ir ClinicalTrials.gov The Final Rule N Engl J Med 2016 375:1998-2004
- (5) Glaab T, Vogelmeier C, Buhl R. Outcome measures in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD): strengths and limitations. Respir Res. 2010;11:79

Presenting autor and contact person:

Rosalba Maekawa

Rosalba.maekawa.y@upch.pe